Edn & P. H. **(1936) Department.**

No SERIES. 10

G.O. No. 339

DATED 8th May 836

Pkt

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical Institutions - Rural (Subsidised) - South Kanara District Board - Retention of the dispensaries at Kinjal and Bhatkal sanctioned

Medical Table

C.F 30.

Local Fund **DEPARTMENT.**



Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

9329

Subject.

Medical Institutions- Rural Dispensaries- Adur and Kunjal- proposals for their retention at the existing centres- orders requested.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref.C. No.4160-A4-35

Station Mangalore, *Dated the* 21st February 19 36.

From (Name) E.M.Gawne Esquire I.C.S.,

(Designation) President, District Board, South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

M a d r a s .

Sir,

I have the honour to invite the kind attention of the Government to this office Ref.C.No.4160-A4-35 dated 28-11-1935 and request that the Government may be pleased to issue early orders, on the subject.

Not received copy called for — vide on on p.2 infra

Your most obedient servant,

Esahalam

For ~~President~~, 24-2-36
Dt.Board, South Kanara.

S.S.P/24.2.36.

Compd:-

24.2.36

✓

L.S.G.(PH)

C. No. 9329 from the PDS, S. Kanara,
R C. No. 4160-H4-25 of 21-2-26

Medl. Institution. Rural-Dispensary at Adur & Kanjal - proposal for retention -

Memo. No 9329-1 Dr (PH)
9.3.'36

The letter of the PDS under reference has not been read by Govt. The President is requested to submit a copy of the same thereto to the S. G.

7/3/36

7/3

1/(7)

MSL
6/3

Dpd 9/3

TO
The PDS, S. Kanara ✓
" S. G. ✓

Dpd.	Van Post Manager

10-3-1936

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* .....



Subject.

Medical Institutions - Rural Dispensaries at Adur and Kunjal- Proposals for their retention at the existing centres- Copy of the report submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref C. No. 4160/A4/35

Station **Mangalore**, *Dated the* **19th March 1936.** 19

From (Name) **M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A. B.L.**

(Designation) **President District Board,**

South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

Through

Sir, **The Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.**

Madras

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No 9329/1 D 2 P.H.dated 9.3.1936, I have the honour to state that the report called for in Government Order No. 64 P.H.dated 10.1.1935 was submitted to the Government through the District Medical Office South Kanara and the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, with this Office Ref C.No. 4160 A.4/35 dated 28.11.1935. A copy of the same is herein enclosed.

Your obedient Servant,

DESPATCHED. 35

President.

T.A.S.19/3

19.3.36.

4

Ref C. No. 4160/A4/35

South Kanara District Board Office,
Mangalore, dated 28. 11..1935.

From

M.R.Ry A. B. Shetty Avargal, M.L.C.,

President, District Board,

South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Local Self Government Department,

Madras.

Through

The District Medical Officer, South Kanara,- and

The Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Sir,

(W)

Adverting to Government Order No. 64 P. H. dated 10.1.1935 I have the honour to state that Adur is a centre which requires a dispensary since it is 18 miles from Sullia and Kasaragod where medical aid is available. The District Medical Officer to whom this matter was referred states that the place is malarial that the attendance is increasing and that the number of labour cases conducted by the midwife also shows that the people want to avail themselves of the medical relief. He is of opinion that this dispensary should be retained even though the attendance has not reached the daily average demanded by the Government, the daily average in itself cannot be regarded as a criterion for the popularity of an institution, in these rural areas where communications are very difficult and the patients have to walk long distances, they have to be provided with medicines to last for two or three or even five days at a time and it is impossible to insist on the patients coming every day for medicine which is possible only in towns. I endorse the views of the District Medical Officer.

2. As regards the transfer of the Rural Dispensary at Kunjal I have to state that there is a considerable increase during

1933 and 1934 in the number of patients treated and the daily average attendance as shown below.

Year.	No.of patients treated.	Daily average attendance.
1929	2226	10.3
1930	2515	9.37
1931	2168	8.33
1932	2620	9.61
1933	3265	21.54
1934	3669	23.19
1935 up to 5.9.35	2349	-

Besides the difficulties experienced at Adur are also felt here to some extent, specially in view of the fact that medicines have to be issued to patients to be sufficient for 4 or 5 days at a time. If the dispensary is transferred elsewhere the rural population will suffer much for want of medical aid within their reach. I request therefore that the Government may be pleased to sanction the retention of the dispensary at Kunjal and Adur at present.

Your etc.,

Sd: A. B. Shetty,

President.

True Copy

Mangalore,)
14. 3. 1936.)

President, District Board,
South Kanara.

15.3.36

R.No. 415-H.

Office of the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, Madras, Dated 17th April 1936

ENDORSEMENT. 1170

Ref:-Letter Ref. C.No.4160/A.4/35 dated 18-3-36 of the President, District Board, South Kanara to Government Report regarding the retention of Rural Dispensaries at Kunjal and Adur.

Vide-below

Forwarded . A copy of letter R.No.95-L.H./36 dated 1-4-36 of the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, offering his remarks on the proposal of the President, District Board, South Kanara, is also enclosed. In the circumstances explained therein, I agree with views of the District Medical Officer, and recommend the retention of the Rural Dispensary at Adur, and the transfer of the dispensary at Kunjal to Brahmavar.

2. The statement of the President, District Board that a report was submitted to Government through the Surgeon-General is not correct as no report was received in this office.

Major-General I.M.S.,
Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Madras.

G/20/4

Copy of letter R.No.95.L.H./36 dated 1st April 1936 from the District Medical Officer, South Kanar, Mangelore, to the Personal Assistant to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, Madras.

Ref:-Your letter Ref.No.415-1-H. dated 23rd March 1936 forwarding

forwarding the letter from the President, District Board, South Kanara to Government regarding the retention of rural dispensaries at Adhur and Kunjal at the existing stations.

I give below the daily average attendance of out-patients for last four years of the Rural dispensary, Kunjal :-

1932	9.61
1933	21.54
1934	23.19
1935	20.36

I have visited the place and do not consider it necessary that a Rural Dispensary should exist at Kunjal. In this connection I may point out that Brahmavar is an important place being a place of the following offices:- Sub Registrar's office, Revenue Inspector's office and the Police Sub Inspector's office. Its firka has got a population of 52,634. Brahmavar village itself has 6859 population. It however has a number of populous villages within a radius of 5 and 10 miles. The old correspondence shows that here was a good deal of agitation for some sort of Dispy at Brahmavar and the agitation fell through, as the then District Board was not favourably inclined. So either the Local Fund Dispensary at Barkur or the rural dispensary at Kunjal may be transferred to Brahmavar. I would recommend that the Local Fund Dispensary at Barkur should be transferred to Brahmavar and that the Rural Dispensary at Kunjal should be moved to some other place to be considered later on.

8

Edn & P.H Dept

C.F. 10.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying		
When copied		8/5
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT :— Medical Institutions - Rural (subsidised) South Kanara Dt Bd. Retention of the dispensaries at Kunjal & Adur - Orders passed

(N)

READ AGAIN Go no 64 PH, dated 10-1-35 19

" " " 19

READ *ALSO* :—

No. 9829 From the PDB. S. Kanara, No. C no 4869-A-4-35 dated 19 18-3-36

" Endt of the S.G R no 415-H " 17-4-36 19

" " " 19

Order Ms. No. 339, dated A 5. 19 36

Resolution Rout.

(W)

In Go. no 64 P.H dt 10-1-35, the South Kanara Dt Bd was requested to consider the advisability of transferring the subsidised rural dispensaries at Adur and Kunjal to ~~some~~ other suitable centres inview of the low daily average attendance in those dispensaries and to report its views to the Govt.

2. The P.D.B. South Kanara reports that a dispensary is required at Adur as it is 18 miles from the nearest centre where medical aid is available and that the D.M.O. South Kanara is also

contd on the next letter on p 4 cf

of opinion that the dispensary should be retained as the place is malarial & the attendance in the dispensary is increasing. The S.G agrees with the DMO & recommends the retention of the dispensary at Adur. The Govt accept the S.G's. recommendation.

p 6 cf.

3 As regards the transfer of the dispensary at Kunjal, the P.D.B South Kanara reports that there is considerable increase in the attendance and that if the dispensary is transferred, the rural population will suffer for want of medical aid within their reach & requests that the dispensary may be retained at the place for the present. The DMO considers that there is no necessity for a rural dispensary at Kunjal and that Brahmavar is a more suitable centre & recommends that either the D.F. dispensary at Barkur or the rural dispensary at Kunjal may be transferred to Brahmavar. The S.G recommends the transfer of the rural dispensary at Kunjal to Brahmavar. The S.G's proposal is commended to the S. Kanara D.B. The P.D.B, South Kanara is requested

para 2 of p 4 cf.

p 7 cf.

1829

to report to the Govt in due course the action taken by the DA Bd on the S.A's recommendation.

26.4.36

27.4.36.

6/5/36

To,

The DDB. S. Kanara & to
.. SG - do